



FUTIFMS International Conference

GOVERNANCE AND SOCIOECONOMIC INEQUALITY IN NIGERIA

By

¹OLUWANIYI, John I. & ²ADEOYE Kowiat T.

^{1,2}Department of Crime Management, Federal University of Technology, Ilaro

john.oluwanyi@federalpolytechnicilaro.edu.ng; +2349069151165

kowiat.ayanwale@federalpolyilaro.edu.ng; +2348064551200

Abstract:

This study investigates the relationship between governance and socioeconomic inequality in Nigeria, focusing on how institutional quality and public resource management influence inequality outcomes. The study adopts a qualitative documentary research design using secondary data from reputable international organizations such as the World Bank, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), UNESCO, World Health Organization (WHO), Transparency International, OECD, and the World Economic Forum, alongside peer-reviewed literature. The analysis is anchored on Human Capital Theory, Governance Theory, and Institutional Theory to explain how disparities in education, healthcare, and economic opportunities are shaped by governance structures. Findings reveal that weak governance systems characterized by corruption, poor accountability, and ineffective policy implementation significantly worsen socioeconomic inequality in Nigeria. Inefficient investment in education and health further deepens regional and social disparities. Ethnic fragmentation and political instability also undermine nation-building and reinforce unequal access to opportunities. The study further shows that gender inequality remains a persistent barrier to inclusive development. Conversely, strong education systems and effective citizenship education promote social cohesion and reduce inequality. The study concludes that improving governance quality, strengthening institutions, and ensuring equitable investment in human capital are essential for reducing inequality in Nigeria. It recommends governance reforms, accountability mechanisms, and inclusive education policies.

Keywords: *Governance, Inequality, Institutions, Human Capital, Nigeria, Development*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Governance plays a critical role in determining socioeconomic outcomes in developing countries such as Nigeria. Socio-economic governance refers to the institutional and policy framework through which governments manage and distribute resources, particularly through investments in education, health, and research and development (Okunlola, Sani, & Ayetigbo, 2023).

Despite Nigeria's abundant resources, socioeconomic inequality remains widespread due to weak governance structures, corruption, and inefficient public resource allocation. Evidence shows that many African countries continue to experience low human development outcomes, reflecting deep structural inequality in access to education, healthcare, and income opportunities (Okunlola et al., 2023).

In Nigeria, these governance weaknesses have contributed to persistent poverty, regional imbalance, and unequal access to social services, thereby limiting inclusive development and national cohesion.



FUTIFMS International Conference

Statement of the Problem

Despite numerous government interventions aimed at reducing inequality and promoting development, socioeconomic inequality in Nigeria continues to persist and even deepen. This is reflected in unequal access to education, healthcare, employment opportunities, and infrastructure. Studies show that socio-economic governance failures particularly in fiscal policy implementation undermine the effectiveness of development programs in Nigeria (Okunlola et al., 2023). Similarly, weak institutions and poor policy execution contribute to widening inequality and underdevelopment.

Furthermore, research indicates that governance challenges such as ethnic fragmentation, insecurity, and weak national integration continue to undermine nation-building efforts in Nigeria (Alozie, Sani, & Ayetigbo, 2018). As a result, inequality remains both structural and systemic.

Research Objectives

The study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To examine the relationship between governance and socioeconomic inequality in Nigeria
2. To assess how public investments in education, health influence inequality reduction
3. To identify governance-related factors that worsen socioeconomic inequality in Nigeria

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

Governance, Institutions, and Inequality

Governance extends beyond formal government to the wider network of public agencies, markets, civil society, and rules involved in collective decision making (Rhodes, 1996). Institutional Theory similarly holds that formal rules, informal norms, and enforcement shape incentives and economic outcomes (North, 1990). Where accountability is weak, public resources may be diverted, implementation may become selective, and politically connected groups may capture benefits intended for the wider population. Nigeria-specific evidence indicates that rule of law, political stability, regulatory quality, voice and accountability, and government effectiveness are associated with more equitable income distribution, although wider African evidence cautions that governance reforms do not automatically reduce inequality unless benefits are broadly accessible (Onatunji & Akinlo, 2026; Dossou et al., 2025).

Education, Health, and Human Capital

Human Capital Theory explains why unequal access to education, health, and skills reproduces unequal productivity and earnings (Becker, 1964). In Nigeria, the problem is not simply the amount spent but whether expenditure produces safe schooling, learning, accessible healthcare, and financial protection. Large out-of-school populations and learning deficits remain concentrated among poor, rural, displaced, and conflict-affected children (UNICEF, 2024). Health inequality is similarly reinforced by heavy household financing: recent evidence shows substantial catastrophic health expenditure, especially among poor, rural, female-headed, large, and elderly households (Aniebo et al., 2026).

Regional and Gender Inequality

National averages conceal large differences across states, rural and urban areas, gender, household structure, and exposure to insecurity. Multidimensional poverty varies substantially across locations because infrastructure, security, schools, clinics, markets, and administrative capacity are unevenly distributed (NBS, 2022). Gender inequality also intersects with location, education, marital status, and labour-market sector; Adeosun and Owolabi (2021) show that women's economic disadvantages differ strongly across these conditions. Effective inequality policy therefore requires disaggregated, place-sensitive, and gender-responsive governance rather than uniform national interventions.



FUTIFMS International Conference

Research Gap

The literature demonstrates that institutional quality, public-service access, gender, geography, insecurity, and macroeconomic conditions all matter, but these factors are often analysed separately. This study addresses that fragmentation by tracing one integrated pathway: governance shapes resource allocation and implementation; implementation shapes access to education, health, and opportunity; and these processes produce unequal outcomes across social groups and regions.

Theoretical Framework

Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory was developed by Gary Becker (1964). The theory argues that individuals' skills, knowledge, education, and health are forms of capital that significantly enhance productivity and economic outcomes.

The theory posits that investment in education, healthcare, and skills acquisition increases an individual's productivity and lifetime earnings, while also improving national economic development. Conversely, inequality increases when access to these human capital investments is unevenly distributed across society.

In the context of Nigeria, unequal access to quality education and healthcare contributes to persistent socioeconomic inequality, as individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds are unable to accumulate the human capital needed for upward mobility.

Governance Theory

Governance Theory was developed by R. A. W. Rhodes (1996, 1997). The theory emphasizes that governance is not only about government actions but also about the interaction between state institutions, private actors, and civil society in managing public affairs.

The theory highlights key principles such as:

- Accountability
- Transparency
- Rule of law
- Institutional effectiveness

Weak governance structures characterized by corruption, lack of accountability, and institutional inefficiency result in poor resource distribution and deepen socioeconomic inequality.

In Nigeria, governance failure reduces the effectiveness of public spending on education, health, and infrastructure, thereby reinforcing inequality and limiting inclusive development.

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory was developed by Douglass North (1990). The theory explains that institutions defined as formal rules, laws, and informal norms shape economic performance and social outcomes.

According to North (1990), institutions determine:

- How resources are allocated
- How policies are implemented
- The level of economic efficiency and fairness in a society

Weak institutions create environments where corruption, inequality, and inefficiency thrive, while strong institutions promote equitable development and economic stability.

In Nigeria, weak institutional frameworks contribute to unequal access to opportunities, poor policy enforcement, and regional disparities in development outcomes.



FUTIFMS International Conference

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a qualitative documentary-review design, appropriate for synthesising evidence from academic studies, official datasets, and policy reports (Bowen, 2009; Snyder, 2019). Searches covered academic databases and official institutional portals using combinations of terms relating to governance, inequality, public expenditure, education, health, gender, poverty, corruption, and digital governance in Nigeria and sub-Saharan Africa.

The review focused mainly on publications from 2018 to August 2026; earlier sources were retained where foundational to theory or method. Sources were included when they directly addressed Nigeria or relevant African comparisons, examined governance and inequality-related outcomes, had identifiable authorship or institutional responsibility, and provided sufficient methodological or data information. Unsupported opinion pieces, duplicate materials, and sources of only incidental relevance were excluded.

Data were extracted according to source characteristics, governance indicators, inequality outcomes, and reported mechanisms. Thematic analysis followed the general procedures of familiarisation, coding, theme development, review, and interpretation (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Evidence was triangulated across official and peer-reviewed sources. Because documentary evidence contains time lags, inconsistent indicators, and uneven subnational coverage, the findings identify recurring patterns and plausible mechanisms rather than definitive causal effects.

4.0 RESULTS

Governance Quality Shapes the Distributional Effect of Public Spending

The strongest theme is the gap between formal policy commitments and implementation. Laws, budgets, and programmes do not reduce inequality when procurement is opaque, projects are politically selected, implementation is delayed, or sanctions are weak. Nigeria's low corruption-control indicators signal persistent institutional risk (Transparency International, 2026; World Bank, 2025a). Nigeria-specific evidence also links stronger governance dimensions with more equitable income distribution (Onatunji & Akinlo, 2026). However, African evidence shows that administrative improvements may coexist with inequality if excluded groups cannot access benefits or accountability channels (Dossou et al., 2025).

Inequality Is Multidimensional and Spatially Uneven

Nigeria's inequality problem extends beyond income. The NBS multidimensional-poverty estimate, World Bank poverty evidence, and UNDP inequality-adjusted human-development indicators all show overlapping deficits in health, education, living standards, employment opportunity, and resilience to shocks (NBS, 2022; UNDP, 2024; World Bank, 2024). Because these deprivations are geographically concentrated, national averages can conceal weak service delivery and limited state capacity in high-deprivation communities.

Education and Health Reproduce Unequal Life Chances

Education and health are major transmission channels. Large out-of-school populations and poor foundational learning reflect failures of access, safety, teacher deployment, household support, and service quality (UNICEF, 2024). In health, the 71.9 per cent out-of-pocket financing share exposes households directly to medical costs (World Bank, 2025b). Aniebo et al. (2026) similarly show that catastrophic health expenditure falls disproportionately on already vulnerable households. Weak service-delivery governance therefore allows disadvantage to persist across generations.

Gender, Region, and Digital Access Condition Inclusion

Gender and location interact with institutional barriers. Women's economic outcomes differ by education, region, rurality, marital status, and sector, meaning that universal programmes can still leave disadvantaged groups behind (Adeosun & Owolabi, 2021). Digital procurement, payments,



FUTIFMS International Conference

beneficiary registers, and monitoring systems can improve transparency, but digital exclusion may reproduce existing inequalities where citizens lack identity documents, connectivity, literacy, or effective grievance mechanisms (Dossou et al., 2025). Inclusion therefore depends on both technology and institutional design.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The evidence indicates that socioeconomic inequality in Nigeria is partly an institutional and implementation problem. Corruption, weak accountability, uneven state capacity, poor education and health delivery, regional disparities, and gendered barriers reduce the extent to which public resources translate into broad welfare gains. Governance is not the sole cause of inequality, but it strongly influences how economic shocks, insecurity, and social disadvantage are managed and who benefits from development. Sustainable inequality reduction therefore requires stronger institutions and more equitable implementation, not policy commitments alone.

6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- i. Link public budgets to measurable distributional outcomes, including school learning, health-service use, financial protection, and rural coverage.
- ii. Strengthen procurement, audit, open contracting, project monitoring, and public access to expenditure information.
- iii. Target education and health resources toward high-deprivation communities, with emphasis on foundational learning, safe schooling, primary healthcare, and reduced out-of-pocket spending.
- iv. Institutionalise gender-responsive and place-sensitive budgeting using disaggregated data and explicit inclusion targets.
- v. Design digital governance systems with offline options, assisted access, privacy safeguards, and functional grievance mechanisms.
- vi. Improve timely state- and local-level data on poverty, education, health, gender, expenditure, and service outcomes to support monitoring and future research.

REFERENCES

- Adeosun, O. T., & Owolabi, K. E. (2021). Gender inequality: Determinants and outcomes in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Socio-economic Development*, 1(2), 165-181. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBSED-01-2021-0007>
- Alozie, C. C., Sani, I. U., & Ayetigbo, O. A. (2018). The Nigerian state and the challenges of nation building in the 21st century. *South East Journal of Political Science*, 4(1), 333-338.
- Aniebo, C. L., Lawani, L. O., & Eze, P. (2026). The burden and socioeconomic inequality in catastrophic out-of-pocket health expenditure in post-pandemic Nigeria. *Global Social Welfare*, 13, 205-218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-025-00423-4>
- Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. Columbia University Press.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27-40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Dossou, T. A. M., Kambaye, E. N., Berhe, M. W., & Asongu, S. A. (2025). Moderating effect of ICT on the relationship between governance quality and income inequality in sub-Saharan Africa. *Information Development*, 41(2), 445-464. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02666669231170396>



FUTIFMS International Conference

- National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Nigeria multidimensional poverty index (2022)*. <https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/elibrary/read/1241254>
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Okunlola, O. C., Sani, I. U., & Ayetigbo, O. A. (2023). Socio-economic governance and economic growth in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Socio-economic Development*, 5(2), 187-203. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBSED-03-2023-0019>
- Onatunji, O. G., & Akinlo, A. E. (2026). Determinants of income inequality in Nigeria: Does governance quality matter? *SN Business & Economics*, 6, Article 194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546-026-01199-1>
- Rhodes, R. A. W. (1996). The new governance: Governing without government. *Political Studies*, 44(4), 652-667. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb01747.x>
- Rhodes, R. A. W. (1997). *Understanding governance: Policy networks, governance, reflexivity and accountability*. Open University Press.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Transparency International. (2026). *Corruption perceptions index 2025*. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2025>
- United Nations Children's Fund. (2024, September 9). *Immediate action needed to protect Nigeria's children and schools*. <https://www.unicef.org/nigeria/press-releases/immediate-action-needed-protect-nigerias-children-and-schools>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2024). *Human development report 2023/2024: Breaking the gridlock: Reimagining cooperation in a polarized world*. <https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2023-24>
- World Bank. (2024). *Nigeria poverty and equity brief: October 2024*. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/099108001062551445>
- World Bank. (2025a). *Out-of-pocket expenditure (% of current health expenditure) - Nigeria* [Data set]. World Development Indicators. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.OOPC.CH.ZS?locations=NG>
- World Bank. (2025b). *Worldwide Governance Indicators (2025 revision)* [Data set]. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/worldwide-governance-indicators>